| Georgia <br> Part B Results Driven Accountability Matrix: 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Component Elements | Performance | Score |
| Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular Statewide Assessments | 71.00\% | 2 |
| Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments | 14.00\% | 2 |
| Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 34.00\% | 2 |
| Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 32.00\% | -1 |
| Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 35.00\% | 1 |
| Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 31.00\% | -1 |
| Math Component Elements | Performance | Score |
| Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment | 66.00\% | 1 |
| Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments | 20.00\% | 2 |
| Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 52.00\% | 1 |
| Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 11.00\% | 1 |
| Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 28.00\% | 1 |
| Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress | 11.00\% | 1 |
| Graduation Component Elements ${ }^{1}$ | 20 | Score |
| (Placeholder for FFY 2013) | (Placeholder for FFY 2013) | (Placeholder for FFY 2013) |


| Results Total Points Available | Results Points Earned | Results Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 12 | $60.00 \%$ |
| Compliance Total Points Available | Compliance Points <br> Earned ${ }^{2}$ | Compliance Performance |
| 22 | 22 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Results Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $80.00 \%$ | MEETS REQUIREMENTS (green) $^{2}$ |  |

1. The Department is committed to using graduation data in determinations but identified potential discrepancies between States with respect to what is included as a regular high school diploma for children with disabilities, as reported to the Department. To ensure that States are treated equitably, we will work with States to address these discrepancies and plan to use graduation data in the 2015 Part B determinations.
2. Review the Part B Compliance Matrix for a breakdown of compliance points earned.
3. Review "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in 2014: Part B" for a detailed description of how the Compliance Performance Percentage, Results Performance Percentage and the Results Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination were calculated.

| Georgia Part B Compliance Matrix: 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part B Compliance Indicator ${ }^{1}$ | Performance | Full Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2011 | Score |
| Indicator 4B: Significant discrepancy, by race and ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and expulsion, and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with specified requirements. | 4.10\% | Y | 2 |
| Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. | 0.00\% | N/A | 2 |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. | 4.00\% | Y | 2 |
| Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation | 97.70\% | Y | 2 |
| Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday | 98.80\% | Y | 2 |
| Indicator 13: Secondary transition | 94.50\% | Y | 2 |
| Indicator 15: Timely correction | 99.66\% |  | 2 |
| Indicator 20: Timely and accurate State-reported data | 100.00\% |  | 2 |
| Timely State Complaint Decisions | 100.00\% |  | 2 |
| Timely Due Process Hearing Decisions | 100.00\% |  | 2 |
| Longstanding Noncompliance |  |  | 2 |
| Special Conditions | NONE |  |  |
| Uncorrected identified noncompliance | NONE |  |  |
|  | Total Compliance Score |  | 22 |
| Points Earned | Total Possible Points | Compliance | rmance |
| 22 | 22 | 100 |  |

[^0]
[^0]:    1. The complete language for each indicator is located on page one of the State's Part B FFY 2012 SPP/APR Response Table.
